Model-based Optimization with Normalizing Flows

Sara Karami

IFT Seminars

April 2024

Outline

- Introduction and Background
- Proposed approach
- Experiments and Results
- Conclusion and Future work

General form: $\min_{x} \{ f(x) : x \in \Omega \}$

Selecting method according to f(x)



- Derivative Free Optimization (DFO)
- Gradient Descent

Problem difficulty*

• Analytical Solvers

*Problem difficulty: Less information / assumptions available

General form: $\min_{x} \{ f(x) : x \in \Omega \}$

Selecting method according to f(x)



*Problem difficulty: Less information / assumptions available

General form: $\min_{x} \{ f(x) : x \in \Omega \}$

Selecting method according to f(x)



Black Box Optimization

Function characteristics:

- Complexity: non-smooth, discontinuous, highly multimodal, noisy
- Dimensionality: large search space
- Separability: dependence between objective variables

 $\min_{x} \{ f(x) : x \in \Omega \}$

Schematic of a Black Box [1]

Black Box Optimization

Function characteristics:

- Complexity: non-smooth, discontinuous, highly multimodal, noisy
- Dimensionality: large search space
- Separability: dependence between objective variables

Real-world examples:

- Computer simulation
- Design of experiments

$$\min_{x} \{ f(x) : x \in \Omega \}$$



Schematic of a Black Box [1]

Black Box Optimization

Function characteristics:

- Complexity: non-smooth, discontinuous, highly multimodal, noisy
- Dimensionality: large search space
- Separability: dependence between objective variables

Real-world examples:

- Computer simulation
- Design of experiments

Goal: Find a good enough solution with **minimum number of sample evaluations**

$$\min_{x} \{ f(x) : x \in \Omega \}$$

Schematic of a Black Box [1]

BBO vs DFO

Black-box optimization

- Typically, no assumptions of any form of continuity, differentiability or smoothness on the function
- More on the **heuristic side** without mathematical support
- Main approaches are **Evolutionary Algorithms** (EA) and **Randomized based** methods

Derivative free optimization

- More mathematically supported: prove of **convergence** and/or **stopping criterion**
- o More on the **deterministic** side
- o Direct search and surrogate-based



BBO Methods

Deciding BBO method

- Complexity and characteristics of f(x)
- Cost of evaluation or evaluation budget



BBO Methods

Deciding BBO method

- Complexity and characteristics of f(x)
- Cost of evaluation or evaluation budget

Model-based BBO

- View optimization as a series of incremental updates of a model
 - o Gaussian process
 - Probabilistic distribution
- Initialize the model
- Sample candidate solutions
- Evaluate the samples and update the model



BBO Methods

Deciding BBO method

- Complexity and characteristics of f(x)
- Cost of evaluation or evaluation budget

Model-based BBO

- View optimization as a series of incremental updates of a model
 - o Gaussian process
 - Probabilistic distribution
- Initialize the model
- Sample candidate solutions
- Evaluate the samples and update the model





- Starts from a random point
- Randomly selects a neighbor with **better** value





- Starts from a random point
- Randomly selects a neighbor with **better** value



• Highly prone to get stuck in local optima or plateaus!







- Starts from a random point
- Randomly selects a neighbor with **better** value



• Highly prone to get stuck in local optima or plateaus!



• Hill climbing vs Gradient descent?





- Starts from a random point
- Randomly selects a neighbor with **better** value



• Highly prone to get stuck in local optima or plateaus!



 Hill climbing vs Gradient descent? Greedy choice the next point based on immediate local information regardless of gradient amount or direction



Simulated Annealing



- Accepts **suboptimal** solutions with a probability relative to a **temperature** parameter and has an **adaptive step size**
- Provides better exploration and possibility of jumping out of local optima



Simulated Annealing



- Accepts suboptimal solutions with a probability relative to a temperature parameter and has an adaptive step size
- Provides better exploration and possibility of jumping out of local optima
- One sample at a time gives a very limited view of the optimization landscape and scheduled temperature leads to premature convergence

Hill climbing



• Hill climbing



• Hill climbing



• Hill climbing





• Updating density model



- Updating density model
- Creating **next generation**



Outline

- Introduction and Background
- **Proposed approach**
- Experiments and Results
- Conclusion and Future steps

Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES





Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



- Randomly sampling the 1st generation from a standard <u>Gaussian</u> distribution
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Updating covariance matrix and mean of the Gaussian
- Creating next generation from the updated Gaussian



Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



- Randomly sampling the 1st generation from a standard <u>Gaussian</u> distribution
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Updating covariance matrix and mean of the Gaussian
- Creating next generation from the updated Gaussian





Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

• Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance



Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

• Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance



Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

• Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance





"CMA-ES." wikipedia.org. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CMAES (accessed Sep. 25,2022)., "cmaes 0.3.1." https://pypi.org/project/cmaes/0.3.1/ (accessed Apr. 10, 2024) L. Faury, C. Calauzenes, O. Fercoq, and S. Krichen, "Improving Evolutionary Strategies with Generative Neural Networks," arXiv preprint arXiv :1901.11271v1, 2019.

Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

- Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance
- Starting from scratch and withdrawing gained knowledge

Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

- Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance
- Starting from scratch and withdrawing gained knowledge



Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

- Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance
- Starting from scratch and withdrawing gained knowledge

We propose using Normalizing Flows (NF) instead of Gaussian to model the density



"CMA-ES." wikipedia.org. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CMAES (accessed Sep. 25,2022)

L. Faury, C. Calauzenes, O. Fercoq, and S. Krichen, "Improving Evolutionary Strategies with Generative Neural Networks," arXiv preprint arXiv :1901.11271v1, 2019.

Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES), a popular Density Model-Based ES



Drawbacks of CMA-ES:

- Arbitrary choice of distribution limits performance
- Starting from scratch and withdrawing gained knowledge

We propose using Normalizing Flows (NF) instead of Gaussian to model the density

- Flexible enough to adapt to the shape of the landscape
- Simultaneous search of multiple modes
- Fine tuning instead of starting from scratch



L. Faury, C. Calauzenes, O. Fercoq, and S. Krichen, "Improving Evolutionary Strategies with Generative Neural Networks," arXiv preprint arXiv :1901.11271v1, 2019.

[&]quot;CMA-ES." wikipedia.org. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CMAES (accessed Sep. 25,2022)

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Designing flows is the core research problem in NFs

- Each flow layer in NF is a simple invertible, differentiable function
- The overall flow network is a composition of simple flow layers

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Designing flows is the core research problem in NFs

- Each flow layer in NF is a simple invertible, differentiable function
- The overall flow network is a composition of simple flow layers

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Designing flows is the core research problem in NFs but NOT our research goal

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Designing flows is the core research problem in NFs but NOT our research goal

- NF being highly expressive
- Sampling and density evaluation is efficient
- **Exact** forward and backward mapping

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Designing flows is the core research problem in NFs but NOT our research goal

- NF being highly expressive
- Sampling and density evaluation is efficient
- **Exact** forward and backward mapping

Structurally restricted Generative Neural Networks (bijective GNNs): Learn probability distribution $p_x(x)$ over a random variable X from observations



Designing flows is the core research problem in NFs but NOT our research goal

- NF being highly expressive
- Sampling and density evaluation is efficient
- **Exact** forward and backward mapping

We adopted **RealNVP** Normalizing Flows for our work

Outline

- Introduction and Background
- Proposed approach
- **Experiments and Results**
- Conclusion and Future steps
- Conclusion / PhD Plan

Recap

CMA-ES, a popular Density Model-Based ES



- Randomly sampling the 1st generation from a standard <u>Gaussian</u> distribution
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Updating covariance matrix and mean of the Gaussian
- Creating next generation from the updated Gaussian



Recap

٠

CMA-ES, a popular Density Model-Based ES



"CMA-ES." wikipedia.org. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CMAES (accessed Sep. 25,2022)

L. Faury, C. Calauzenes, O. Fercoq, and S. Krichen, "Improving Evolutionary Strategies with Generative Neural Networks," arXiv preprint arXiv :1901.11271v1, 2019.

We proposed using Normalizing Flows (NF) instead of Gaussian to model the density

- Flexible enough to adapt to the shape of the landscape
- Simultaneous search of multiple modes
- Fine tuning instead of starting from scratch



We proposed using **Normalizing Flows (NF)** instead of Gaussian to model the density

- Flexible enough to adapt to the shape of the landscape
- Simultaneous search of multiple modes
- Fine tuning instead of starting from scratch

Integrating RealNVP in Evolution Sterategy



We proposed using Normalizing Flows (NF) instead of Gaussian to model the density

- Flexible enough to adapt to the shape of the landscape
- Simultaneous search of multiple modes
- Fine tuning instead of starting from scratch

Integrating RealNVP in EDA (Estimation of Distribution Alg.)

- Separate the impact of ES
- Investigate the full potential of NF



NF + EDA

We proposed using Normalizing Flows (NF) instead of Gaussian to model the density

Integrating RealNVP in EDA (Estimation of Distribution Alg.)

- Separate the impact of ES
- Investigate the full potential of NF
- Sampling the 1st generation from a randomly initialized RealNVP with a GMM base
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Fine-tuning RealNVP to focus the distribution on the fitter samples
- Sampling the next generation from the fine-tuned RealNVP



NF + EDA

We proposed using Normalizing Flows (NF) instead of Gaussian to model the density

Integrating RealNVP in EDA (Estimation of Distribution Alg.)

- Separate the impact of ES
- Investigate the full potential of NF
- Sampling the 1st generation from a randomly initialized RealNVP with a GMM base
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Fine-tuning RealNVP to focus the distribution on the fitter samples
- Sampling the next generation from the fine-tuned RealNVP



NF + ES

Integrating RealNVP in Evolution Sterategy

- Sampling the 1st generation from a randomly initialized RealNVP with a GMM base
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual in the original space
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals in the **original space**
- Fine-tuning RealNVP to focus the distribution on the fitter samples
- **Remapping** the selected samples to the latent space
- **Taking ES step in the** <u>latent space</u> to generate the next generation
- Mapping the new generation to the original space with the fine-tuned RealNVP

Integrating RealNVP in EDA

- Sampling the 1st generation from a randomly initialized RealNVP with a GMM base
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Fine-tuning RealNVP to focus the distribution on the fitter samples
- Sampling the next generation from the fine-tuned RealNVP

NF + ES

Integrating RealNVP in Evolution Sterategy

- Sampling the 1st generation from a randomly initialized RealNVP with a GMM base
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual in the original space
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals in the **original space**
- Fine-tuning RealNVP to focus the distribution on the fitter samples
- **Remapping** the selected samples to the latent space
- **Taking ES step in the** <u>latent space</u> to generate the next generation
- Mapping the new generation to the original space with the fine-tuned RealNVP

Integrating RealNVP in EDA

- Sampling the 1st generation from a randomly initialized RealNVP with a GMM base
- Evaluation: calculating fitness for each individual
- Selection: selecting the fitter individuals
- Fine-tuning RealNVP to focus the distribution on the fitter samples
- Sampling the next generation from the fine-tuned RealNVP

NF+ES is expected to outperform NF+EDA

NF + EDA (Estimation of Distribution Alg.)



NF + EDA (Estimation of Distribution Alg.)



NF + ES (Evolutionary Strategy)

NF + ES model-based BBO vs NF + EDA Model-based BBO



Outline

- Introduction and Background
- Proposed approach
- Experiments and Results
- Conclusion and Future steps

Conclusion and Future Steps

- Preliminary Results:
 - NF has the required capability to enhance model-based BBO
 - NF+EDA results are promising despite the simplicity of the method and with minimum hyperparameter tuning
 - NF+ES results outperform NF+EDA and are competent with CMA-ES even in the preliminary experiments without hyperparameter tuning
- Challenges ahead:
 - Model convergence and Exploration/Exploitation balance
 - Hyperparameter tuning
 - o Conducting experiments on real-world data
- Next phase:
 - Applying Meta-optimization to further improve performance and sample efficiency